

U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE SPECIES ASSESSMENT AND LISTING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT FORM

Scientific Name:

Papaipema eryngii

Common Name:

Rattlesnake-Master Borer moth

Lead region:

Region 3 (Great Lakes-Big Rivers Region)

Information current as of:

08/20/2013

Status/Action

Funding provided for a proposed rule. Assessment not updated.

Species Assessment - determined species did not meet the definition of the endangered or threatened under the Act and, therefore, was not elevated to the Candidate status.

New Candidate

Continuing Candidate

Candidate Removal

Taxon is more abundant or widespread than previously believed or not subject to the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or continuance of candidate status

Taxon not subject to the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or continuance of candidate status due, in part or totally, to conservation efforts that remove or reduce the threats to the species

Range is no longer a U.S. territory

Insufficient information exists on biological vulnerability and threats to support listing

- Taxon mistakenly included in past notice of review
- Taxon does not meet the definition of "species"
- Taxon believed to be extinct
- Conservation efforts have removed or reduced threats
- More abundant than believed, diminished threats, or threats eliminated.

Petition Information

Non-Petitioned

Petitioned - Date petition received: 06/25/2007

90-Day Positive:12/16/2009

12 Month Positive:08/14/2013

Did the Petition request a reclassification? **No**

For Petitioned Candidate species:

Is the listing warranted(if yes, see summary threats below) **Yes**

To Date, has publication of the proposal to list been precluded by other higher priority listing? **Yes**

Explanation of why precluded:

We find that the immediate issuance of a proposed rule and timely promulgation of a final rule for this species has been, for the preceding 12 months, and continues to be, precluded by higher priority listing actions (including candidate species with lower LPNs). During the past 12 months, the majority our entire national listing budget has been consumed by work on various listing actions to comply with court orders and court-approved settlement agreements; meeting statutory deadlines for petition findings or listing determinations; emergency listing evaluations and determinations; and essential litigation-related administrative and program management tasks. We will continue to monitor the status of this species as new information becomes available. This review will determine if a change in status is warranted, including the need to make prompt use of emergency listing procedures. For information on listing actions taken over the past 12 months, see the discussion of Progress on Revising the Lists, in the current CNOR which can be viewed on our Internet website (<http://endangered.fws.gov/>).

Historical States/Territories/Countries of Occurrence:

- **States/US Territories:** Illinois, Indiana
- **US Counties:** County information not available
- **Countries:** Country information not available

Current States/Counties/Territories/Countries of Occurrence:

- **States/US Territories:** Illinois
- **US Counties:** Cook, IL, Effingham, IL, Fayette, IL, Grundy, IL, Kankakee, IL, Livingston, IL, Marion, IL, Will, IL
- **Countries:** Country information not available

Land Ownership:

Lead Region Contact:

ARD-ECOL SVCS, Karl Tinsley, 612-713-5330, Karl_Tinsley@fws.gov

Lead Field Office Contact:

ROCK ISLAND ESFO, Kristen Lundh, 309-793-5800, kristen_lundh@fws.gov

Biological Information

Species Description:

Taxonomy:

Habitat/Life History:

Historical Range/Distribution:

Current Range Distribution:

Population Estimates/Status:

Threats

A. The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range:

B. Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational

purposes:

C. Disease or predation:

D. The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms:

E. Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence:

Conservation Measures Planned or Implemented :

Summary of Threats :

For species that are being removed from candidate status:

_____ Is the removal based in whole or in part on one or more individual conservation efforts that you determined met the standards in the Policy for Evaluation of Conservation Efforts When Making Listing Decisions(PECE)?

Recommended Conservation Measures :

Priority Table

Magnitude	Immediacy	Taxonomy	Priority
High	Imminent	Monotypic genus	1
		Species	2
		Subspecies/Population	3
	Non-imminent	Monotypic genus	4
		Species	5
		Subspecies/Population	6
Moderate to Low	Imminent	Monotypic genus	7
		Species	8
		Subspecies/Population	9
	Non-Imminent	Monotype genus	10
		Species	11
		Subspecies/Population	12

Rationale for Change in Listing Priority Number:

Magnitude:

Imminence :

 Yes Have you promptly reviewed all of the information received regarding the species for the purpose of determination whether emergency listing is needed?

Emergency Listing Review

 No Is Emergency Listing Warranted?

Description of Monitoring:

Indicate which State(s) (within the range of the species) provided information or comments on the species or latest species assessment:

none

Indicate which State(s) did not provide any information or comment:

none

State Coordination:

Literature Cited:

Approval/Concurrence:

Lead Regions must obtain written concurrence from all other Regions within the range of the species before recommending changes, including elevations or removals from candidate status and listing priority changes; the Regional Director must approve all such recommendations. The Director must concur on all resubmitted 12-month petition findings, additions or removal of species from candidate status, and listing priority changes.

Approve:



05/20/2015

Date

Concur:



12/04/2015

Date

Did not concur:

Date

Director's Remarks:

testing CNOR flow