

U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE SPECIES ASSESSMENT AND LISTING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT FORM

Scientific Name:

Graptemys pulchra

Common Name:

Alabama Map turtle

Lead region:

Region 4 (Southeast Region)

Information current as of:

04/26/2016

Status/Action

Funding provided for a proposed rule. Assessment not updated.

Species Assessment - determined species did not meet the definition of the endangered or threatened under the Act and, therefore, was not elevated to the Candidate status.

New Candidate

Continuing Candidate

Candidate Removal

Taxon is more abundant or widespread than previously believed or not subject to the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or continuance of candidate status

Taxon not subject to the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or continuance of candidate status due, in part or totally, to conservation efforts that remove or reduce the threats to the species

Range is no longer a U.S. territory

Insufficient information exists on biological vulnerability and threats to support listing

- Taxon mistakenly included in past notice of review
- Taxon does not meet the definition of "species"
- Taxon believed to be extinct
- Conservation efforts have removed or reduced threats
- More abundant than believed, diminished threats, or threats eliminated.

Petition Information

Non-Petitioned

Petitioned - Date petition received: 04/01/2016

90-Day Positive:09/27/2011

12 Month Positive:04/07/2016

Did the Petition request a reclassification? **No**

For Petitioned Candidate species:

Is the listing warranted(if yes, see summary threats below) **No**

To Date, has publication of the proposal to list been precluded by other higher priority listing? **null**

Historical States/Territories/Countries of Occurrence:

- **States/US Territories:**State(s) information not available
- **US Counties:**County information not available
- **Countries:**Country information not available

Current States/Counties/Territories/Countries of Occurrence:

- **States/US Territories:** Alabama, Georgia, Mississippi
- **US Counties:**County information not available
- **Countries:**Country information not available

Land Ownership:

test

Lead Region Contact:

ASHEVILLE ESFO, Mara Alexander, 828-258-3939, mara_alexander@fws.gov

Lead Field Office Contact:

ALABAMA ESFO, Jeff Powell, 251-441-5858, jeff_powell@fws.gov

Biological Information

Species Description:

Taxonomy:

Habitat/Life History:

Historical Range/Distribution:

Current Range Distribution:

Population Estimates/Status:

Threats

A. The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range:

B. Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes:

C. Disease or predation:

D. The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms:

E. Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence:

Conservation Measures Planned or Implemented :

Summary of Threats :

For species that are being removed from candidate status:

_____ Is the removal based in whole or in part on one or more individual conservation efforts that you determined met the standards in the Policy for Evaluation of Conservation Efforts When Making Listing Decisions(PECE)?

Recommended Conservation Measures :

Priority Table

Magnitude	Immediacy	Taxonomy	Priority
High	Imminent	Monotypic genus	1
		Species	2
		Subspecies/Population	3
	Non-imminent	Monotypic genus	4
		Species	5
		Subspecies/Population	6
Moderate to Low	Imminent	Monotype genus	7
		Species	8
		Subspecies/Population	9
	Non-Imminent	Monotype genus	10
		Species	11
		Subspecies/Population	12

Rationale for Change in Listing Priority Number:

Magnitude:

Imminence :

Yes No Have you promptly reviewed all of the information received regarding the species for the purpose of determination whether emergency listing is needed?

Emergency Listing Review

No Yes Is Emergency Listing Warranted?

Description of Monitoring:

Indicate which State(s) (within the range of the species) provided information or comments on the species or latest species assessment:

none

Indicate which State(s) did not provide any information or comment:

none

State Coordination:

Literature Cited:

Approval/Concurrence:

Lead Regions must obtain written concurrence from all other Regions within the range of the species before recommending changes, including elevations or removals from candidate status and listing priority changes; the Regional Director must approve all such recommendations. The Director must concur on all resubmitted 12-month petition findings, additions or removal of species from candidate status, and listing priority changes.

Approve:

04/26/2016

Date

Concur:



04/26/2016

Date

Did not concur:

Date

Director's Remarks:

test